IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND SIX

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

WRIT PETITION Nos.23220, 23222 AND 25087 OF 1995

W.P.NO.23220 OF 1995

Between:

M/s.SEW Constructions Limited,
Reptd. By its Joint Managing Director
V.Raja Ram Mohan Rao and another.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

Executive Engineer,
Division No.5, G.V.C.IV,
Warangal District
And another.

....RESPONDENTS

W.P.NO.23222 OF 1995

Between:

M/s.SEW Constructions Limited,
Reptd. By its Joint Managing Director
V.Raja Ram Mohan Rao and another.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

Executive Engineer,
Division No.6, G.V.C.IV,
Warangal District
And another.

....RESPONDENTS

W.P.NO.25087 OF 1995

Between:

P.Balanagi Reddy

..... PETITIONER

AND

Superintending Engineer,
R & B Circle, Kurnool,
And another.

....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

WRIT PETITION Nos.23220, 23222 AND 25087 OF 1995

C O M M O N O R D E R

When the matters are taken up, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that in an identical matter filed by the same petitioners was dismissed by an order dated 17.03.2006 in W.P.No.23221 of 1995.

Heard the learned Government Pleader.

The order dated 17.03.2006, referred to above, reads as follows:

> "Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners may be permitted to pursue their remedies for recovery of the amount if any excess collected than the aggregate sum of Rs.13,678,621/- i.e., at 7.07% of the basic cost of other material as per the terms of the agreement.
>
> The writ petition is accordingly dismissed permitting the petitioners to avail the remedies as are available under the law in force for recovery of the excess seigniorage charges, if any collected by the respondents. Consequently, interim direction granted on 16.10.1995 shall stand vacated. No costs."

In the circumstances, these writ petitions are also dismissed permitting the petitioners to avail the remedies as are available under the law in force for recovery of the excess seigniorage charges, if any collected by the respondents and the interim orders granted earlier stands vacated. No costs.

JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

29th MARCH, 2006
PGS

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE
J.CHELAMESWAR

WRIT PETITION Nos.23220, 23222 AND
25087 OF 1995

-

29th MARCH, 2006